arXiv:1304.2677v1 [math.FA] 9 Apr 2013

ON FINITE RANK HANKEL OPERATORS

D. R. YAFAEV

Abstract. For self-adjoint Hankel operators of finite rank, we find an explicit formula for the total multiplicity of their negative and positive spectra. We also show that very strong perturbations, for example, a perturbation by the Carleman operator, do not change the total number of negative eigenvalues of finite rank Hankel operators.

1. Introduction. Main results

1.1. Hankel operators can be defined as integral operators

$$(Hf)(t)=\int_0^\infty h(t+s)f(s)ds \tag{1.1}$$

in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with kernels h that depend on the sum of variables only. Of course H is symmetric if $h(t)=\overline{h(t)}$.

Integral kernels of self-adjoint Hankel operators H of finite rank are given (this is the Kronecker theorem – see, e.g., Sections 1.3 and 1.8 of the book [6]) by the formula

$$h(t)=\sum_{m=1}^{M} P_m(t)e^{-\alpha_m t} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_m>0$ and $P_m(t)$ are polynomials of degree K_m. If H is self-adjoint, then necessarily the sum in (1.2) contains both exponentials $e^{-\alpha_m t}$ and $e^{-\bar\alpha_m t}$. Let $\operatorname{Im}\alpha_m=0$ for $m=1,\dots,M_0$, $\operatorname{Im}\alpha_m>0$ and $\alpha_{M_1+m}=\bar\alpha_m$ for $m=M_0+1,\dots,M_0+M_1$. Thus $M=M_0+2M_1$; of course the cases $M_0=0$ or $M_1=0$ are not excluded. The condition $h(t)=\overline{h(t)}$ requires also that $P_m(t)=\overline{P_m(t)}$ for $m=1,\dots,M_0$ and $P_{M_1+m}(t)=\overline{P_m(t)}$ for $m=M_0+1,\dots,M_0+M_1$. As is well known and as we shall see below,

$$\operatorname{rank}H=\sum_{m=1}^{M}K_m+M=:r.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A40, 47B25.

Key words and phrases. Hankel operators, operators of finite rank, the sign-function, necessary and sufficient conditions for the sign-definiteness, total multiplicity of the positive and negative spectra, the Carleman operator and its perturbations.

For $m = 1, \dots, M_0$, we set

$$\mathsf{p}_m = P_m^{(K_m)}, \tag{1.3}$$

that is, $\mathsf{p}_m/K_m!$ is the coefficient at t^{K_m} in the polynomial $P_m(t)$, and

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_+^{(m)} = \mathcal{N}_-^{(m)} &= (K_m+1)/2 \quad \text{if } K_m \text{ is odd} \\ \mathcal{N}_+^{(m)} - 1 = \mathcal{N}_-^{(m)} &= K_m/2 \quad \text{if } K_m \text{ is even and} \quad \mathsf{p}_m > 0 \\ \mathcal{N}_+^{(m)} = \mathcal{N}_-^{(m)} - 1 &= K_m/2 \quad \text{if } K_m \text{ is even and} \quad \mathsf{p}_m < 0. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{1.4}$$

For a self-adjoint operator A, we denote by $N_+(A)$ (by $N_-(A)$) the total mutiplicity of its strictly positive (negative) spectrum. Our main result is formulated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let H be the self-adjoint Hankel operator of finite rank with kernel $h(t)$ given by formula (1.2) where $P_m(t)$ are polynomials of degree K_m, and let the numbers $\mathcal{N}_\pm^{(m)}$ be defined by formula (1.4). Then the total numbers $N_\pm(H)$ of (strictly) positive and negative eigenvalues of the operator H are given by the formula*

$$N_\pm(H) = \sum_{m=1}^{M_0} \mathcal{N}_\pm^{(m)} + \sum_{m=M_0+1}^{M_0+M_1} K_m + M_1. \tag{1.5}$$

Formula (1.5) shows that every pair of complex conjugate terms

$$P_m(t)e^{-\alpha_m t} + \overline{P_m(t)}e^{-\bar\alpha_m t}, \quad m = M_0+1, \dots, M_0+M_1, \tag{1.6}$$

in representation (1.2) of $h(t)$ yields K_m+1 positive and K_m+1 negative eigenvalues. In view of (1.4) the contribution of every real term $P_m(t)e^{-\alpha_m t}$ also consists of the equal numbers $(K_m+1)/2$ of positive and negative eigenvalues if the degree K_m of $P_m(t)$ is odd. If K_m is even, then there is one extra positive (negative) eigenvalue if $P_m^{(K_m)} > 0$ ($P_m^{(K_m)} < 0$). In particular, in the question considered, there is no "interference" between different real terms $P_m(t)e^{-\alpha_m t}$, $m = 1, \dots, M_0$, and pairs (1.6).

According to (1.5) the operator H cannot be sign-definite if $M_1 > 0$. Moreover, according to (1.4) the operator H cannot be sign-definite if $K_m > 0$ at least for one $m = 1, \dots, M_0$. Therefore we have the following result.

Corollary 1.2. *A Hankel operator H of finite rank in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is positive (negative) if and only if its kernel is given by the formula*

$$h(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{M_0} \mathsf{p}_m e^{-\alpha_m t}$$

where $\alpha_m > 0$ and $\mathsf{p}_m > 0$ ($\mathsf{p}_m < 0$).

Let us recall the paper [4] by A. V. Megretskii, V. V. Peller, and S. R. Treil. In the particular case of finite rank Hankel operators H, it follows from the results of [4] that the spectra of H are characterized by the condition that the multiplicities of eigenvalues λ and $-\lambda$ do not differ by more than 1. Compared to Theorem 1.1, this result is of a completely different nature.

1.2. The result of Theorem 1.1 turns out to be stable under a large class of perturbations of finite rank Hankel operators. As an example, we consider the sum $H = H_0 + V$ of the Carleman operator H_0, that is, of the Hankel operator with kernel $h_0(t) = t^{-1}$, and of a finite rank Hankel operator V. Recall that the Carleman operator has the absolutely continuous spectrum $[0, \pi]$ of multiplicity 2. We obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let H_0 be the Hankel operator with kernel $h_0(t) = t^{-1}$. If V is a Hankel operator of finite rank and $H = H_0 + V$, then*

$$N_-(H) = N_-(V).$$

In particular, $H \geq 0$ if and only if $V \geq 0$.

The inequality $N_-(H) \leq N_-(V)$ is of course obvious because $H_0 \geq 0$. On the contrary, the opposite inequality $N_-(H) \geq N_-(V)$ looks surprising because the Carleman operator is "much stronger" than V; it is not even compact. Nevertheless its adding does not change the total number of negative eigenvalues.

It is natural to compare (this point of view goes back to J. S. Howland [2]) Hankel operators H with "perturbed" kernels $h(t) = t^{-1} + v(t)$ to Schrödinger operators $D^2 + \mathsf{V}(x)$. The assumption that $v(t)$ decays sufficiently rapidly as $t \to \infty$ and is not too singular as $t \to 0$ corresponds to a sufficiently rapid decay of a potential $\mathsf{V}(x)$ as $|x| \to \infty$. As shown in [9], the results on the discrete spectrum of the operator H lying *above* its essential spectrum $[0, \pi]$ are close in spirit to the results on the discrete (negative) spectrum of the Schrödinger operator $D^2 + \mathsf{V}(x)$. On the contrary, according to Theorem 1.3 the results on the negative spectrum of Hankel operators are drastically different from those for the Schrödinger operators.

1.3. Our proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 rely on the approach suggested in [10]. It is shown in [10] that a Hankel operator H has the same numbers of negative and positive eigenvalues as an operator S of multiplication by some function $s(x)$. In particular, $\pm H \geq 0$ if and only if $\pm S \geq 0$. Therefore we use the term "sign-function" for $s(x)$. In specific examples functions $s(x)$ may be of a quite different nature. For instance, for finite rank Hankel operators, $s(x)$ is a distribution which is an explicit combination of delta functions and their derivatives. This allows us to calculate the total numbers of negative and positive eigenvalues of such operators and thus prove Theorem 1.1.

As far as Theorem 1.3 is concerned, we note that the sign-function of the Carleman operator equals 1. Its support is essentially disjoint from supports of the sign-functions of finite rank Hankel operators V. Very loosely speaking, it means that the operators H_0 and V "live in orthogonal subspaces", and hence the positive operator H_0 does not affect the negative spectrum of $H = H_0 + V$.

We note that since the sign-function is, in general, a distribution, S need not be defined as an operator. Therefore we work with quadratic forms which is both more general and more convenient.

Roughly speaking, the approach of [10] can be described as follows. Let S be the *formal* operator of multiplication by the function $s(x)$. Then the identity

$$H = \Xi^* S \Xi \tag{1.7}$$

holds with some invertible operator Ξ. It follows that

$$N_\pm(H) = N_\pm(S). \tag{1.8}$$

For finite rank Hankel operators H, the form (Su, u) is determined by values of functions $u(x)$ and their derivatives at some finite number of points. Therefore number (1.8) equals $N_\pm(\mathbf{S})$ for some Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{S}$ (the sign-matrix of the operator H) constructed in terms of $s(x)$. It turns out that the matrix $\mathbf{S}$ has a very special structure which allows us to calculate the number $N_\pm(\mathbf{S})$ explicitly.

1.4. Let us briefly describe the structure of the paper. We collect necessary results of [10] in Section 2. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 are given in Section 3. Hankel operators can be standardly realized not only in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ but also in the Hardy spaces $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T})$ and in the space of sequences $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$. The interrelations between different representations are discussed in the auxiliary Section 4. This information is used in Section 5 to reformulate Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 in the spaces $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T})$ and $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$. Finally, in the Appendix we describe the group of automorphisms of the set of Hankel operators in all these spaces as well as in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Let us introduce some standard notation. We first recall that $\mathbb{T}$ is the unit circle in the complex plane and $\mathbb{Z}_+$ is the set of all nonnegative integers. We denote by Φ,

$$(\Phi u)(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx,$$

the Fourier transform. The space $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R})$ of test functions is defined as the subset of the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ which consists of functions φ admitting the analytic continuation to entire functions in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and satisfying, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, bounds

$$|\varphi(z)| \leq C_n (1 + |z|)^{-n} e^{r|\operatorname{Im} z|}$$

for some $r = r(\varphi) > 0$ and all n. We recall that the Fourier transform $\Phi : \mathcal{Z} \to C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Phi^* : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{Z}$.

The dual classes of distributions (continuous antilinear functionals) are denoted $\mathcal{S}'$, $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})'$ and $\mathcal{Z}'$, respectively. We use the notation $\langle\!\langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle\!\rangle$ and $\langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle$ for the duality symbols in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, respectively. They are linear in the first argument and antilinear in the second argument.

The Dirac function is standardly denoted $\delta(\cdot)$; $\delta_{n,m}$ is the Kronecker symbol, i.e., $\delta_{n,n} = 1$ and $\delta_{n,m} = 0$ if $n \neq m$. The letter C (sometimes with indices) denotes various positive constants whose precise values are inessential.

2. The sign-function

Here we briefly discuss necessary results of [10] adapting them to the case of bounded Hankel operators.

2.1. Let us consider a Hankel operator H defined by equality (1.1) in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Actually, it is more convenient to work with sesquilinear forms instead of operators. Let us introduce the Laplace convolution

$$(\bar{f}_1 \star f_2)(t) = \int_0^t \overline{f_1(s)} f_2(t-s)ds \tag{2.1}$$

of functions $\bar{f}_1$ and f_2. Then

$$(Hf_1, f_2) = \langle\!\langle h, \bar{f}_1 \star f_2 \rangle\!\rangle =: h[f_1, f_2] \tag{2.2}$$

where we write $\langle\!\langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle\!\rangle$ instead of $(\cdot,\cdot)$ because h may be a distribution.

We consider form (2.2) on elements $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ where $\mathcal{D}$ is defined as follows. Put

$$(Uf)(x) = e^{x/2} f(e^x).$$

Then $U : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the unitary operator. The set $\mathcal{D}$ consists of functions $f(t)$ such that $Uf \in \mathcal{Z}$. Since

$$f(t) = t^{-1/2} (Uf)(\ln t)$$

and $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{S}$, we see that functions $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and their derivatives satisfy the estimates

$$|f^{(m)}(t)| = C_{n,m} t^{-1/2-m} (1 + |\ln t|)^{-n}$$

for all n and m. Of course, $\mathcal{D}$ is dense in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. It is shown in [10] that if $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{D}$, then the function

$$\Omega(x) = (\bar{f}_1 \star f_2)(e^x)$$

belongs to the set $\mathcal{Z}$.

With respect to h, we assume that the distribution

$$\theta(x) = e^x h(e^x) \tag{2.3}$$

is an element of the space $\mathcal{Z}'$. The set of all such h will be denoted $\mathcal{Z}'_+$, that is,

$$h \in \mathcal{Z}'_+ \iff \theta \in \mathcal{Z}'.$$

It is shown in [10] that *this condition is satisfied for all bounded Hankel operators* H. Since $\Omega \in \mathcal{Z}$, the form

$$\langle h, \bar{f}_1 \star f_2 \rangle = \int_0^\infty h(t)(f_1 \star \bar{f}_2)(t)dt = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \theta(x)\overline{\Omega(x)}dx =: \langle \theta, \Omega \rangle$$

is correctly defined.

Note that $h \in \mathcal{Z}'_+$ if $h \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and the integral

$$\int_0^\infty |h(t)|(1 + |\ln t|)^{-\kappa}dt < \infty$$

converges for some κ. In this case the corresponding function (2.3) satisfies the condition

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty |\theta(x)|(1 + |x|)^{-\kappa}dx < \infty,$$

and hence $\theta \in \mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{Z}'$.

2.2. Let us now give the definition of the sign-function of a Hankel operator H or of its kernel $h(t)$. Set

$$b(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{\int_0^\infty h(t)t^{-i\xi}dt}{\int_0^\infty e^{-t}t^{-i\xi}dt}. \tag{2.4}$$

Of course $b(-\xi) = \overline{b(\xi)}$ if $h(t) = \overline{h(t)}$. We call $b(\xi)$ the b-function of a Hankel operator H (or of its kernel $h(t)$) and we use the term the *sign-function* for the Fourier transform $s(x) = \sqrt{2\pi}(\Phi^* b)(x)$ of $b(\xi)$.

Let the function $\theta(\xi)$ be defined by formula (2.3). If $h \in \mathcal{Z}'_+$, then $\theta \in \mathcal{Z}'$ and hence its Fourier transform

$$a(\xi) = (\Phi\theta)(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}\int_0^\infty h(t)t^{-i\xi}dt \tag{2.5}$$

is an element of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})'$. Then definition (2.4) can be rewritten

$$b(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}a(\xi)\Gamma(1 - i\xi)^{-1} \tag{2.6}$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function. Note that $\Gamma(1 - i\xi)^{-1} \neq 0$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, but according to the Stirling formula it tends exponentially to zero as $|\xi| \to \infty$. Nevertheless the distribution $b \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})'$ and hence $s \in \mathcal{Z}'$.

For a test function $f \in \mathcal{D}$, we set

$$g(\xi) = \Gamma(1/2 + i\xi)(\Phi U f)(\xi) =: (\Xi f)\xi). \tag{2.7}$$

Since $Uf \in \mathcal{Z}$, the functions $\Phi U f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and hence $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. We note that $(\Phi U f)(\xi)$ is the Mellin transform of $f(t)$.

The following result was obtained in [10].

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that* $h \in \mathcal{Z}'_+$. *Define the distribution* $b \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})'$ *by formula* (2.4), *and set* $s = \sqrt{2\pi}\Phi^* b \in \mathcal{Z}'$. *Let* $f_j \in \mathcal{D}$, $j = 1, 2$, *let the functions* $g_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *be defined by formula* (2.7) *and* $u_j = \Phi^* g_j = \Phi^* \Xi f_j \in \mathcal{Z}$. *Then the identity*

$$\langle h, \bar{f}_1 \star f_2 \rangle = \langle s, \bar{u}_1 u_2 \rangle =: s[u_1, u_2] \tag{2.8}$$

holds.

2.3. For an arbitrary distribution $h \in \mathcal{Z}'_+$, we have constructed in Theorem 2.1 its sign-function $s \in \mathcal{Z}'$. It turns out that, conversely, the kernel $h(t)$ can be recovered from its sign-function $s(x)$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $h \in \mathcal{Z}'_+$, *and let* $s \in \mathcal{Z}'$ *be its sign-function. Then*

$$h(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-te^{-x}} e^{-x} s(x) dx. \tag{2.9}$$

As we shall see in the next section, even for kernels (1.2), the corresponding sign-function $s(x)$ is a highly singular distribution. Nevertheless the mapping $h(t) \leftrightarrow s(x)$ yields the one-to-one correspondence between the classes $\mathcal{Z}'_+$ and $\mathcal{Z}'$. We emphasize that formula (2.9) is understood in the sense of distributions.

2.4. Suppose now that $h(t) = \overline{h(t)}$ so that the operator H is self-adjoint. Then the identity (2.8), or equivalently (1.7), implies relation (1.8). To be more precise, we use the following natural definition. Denote by $N_\pm(s)$ the maximal dimension of linear sets $\mathcal{L}_\pm \subset \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\pm s[u, u] > 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{L}_\pm$, $u \neq 0$. We apply the same definition to the form $h[f, f]$ considered on the set $\mathcal{D}$ and observe that $N_\pm(h) = N_\pm(H)$.

Note that formula (2.7) establishes one-to-one correspondence between the sets $\mathcal{D}$ and $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Of course the Fourier transform establishes one-to-one correspondence between the sets $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{Z}$. Therefore the following assertion is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.3. *Let a Hankel operator* H *be bounded. Define the distribution* $b \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})'$ *by formula* (2.4) *and set* $s = \sqrt{2\pi}\Phi^* b \in \mathcal{Z}'$. *Then*

$$N_\pm(H) = N_\pm(s). \tag{2.10}$$

In particular, relation (2.10) means that a Hankel operator H is positive (or negative) if and only if the function $s(x)$ is positive (or negative). This justifies the term “sign-function” for $s(x)$.

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.3

3.1. Let us first calculate the b- and s-functions of the kernel

$$h(t) = t^k e^{-\alpha t} \quad \text{where} \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \quad \operatorname{Re}\alpha > 0, \tag{3.1}$$

but we do not assume that $\operatorname{Im}\alpha = 0$. Calculating integral (2.5) we see that

$$a(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}\int_0^\infty t^k e^{-\alpha t} t^{-i\xi} dt = (2\pi)^{-1/2}\alpha^{-1-k+i\xi}\Gamma(1+k-i\xi),$$

where $\arg\alpha \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, and hence function (2.6) equals

$$b(\xi) = \alpha^{-1-k+i\xi}\frac{\Gamma(1+k-i\xi)}{2\pi\Gamma(1-i\xi)}.$$

Since k is integer, this yields the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $h(t)$ be given by formula* (3.1). *If $k = 0$, then $b(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1}\alpha^{-1+i\xi}$ and*

$$s(x) = \alpha^{-1}\delta(x-\beta), \quad \beta = -\ln\alpha. \tag{3.2}$$

If $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, then

$$b(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1}\alpha^{-1-k+i\xi}(1-i\xi)\cdots(k-i\xi)$$

and

$$s(x) = \alpha^{-1-k}(1-\partial)\cdots(k-\partial)\delta(x-\beta). \tag{3.3}$$

Let us use the notation $\nu_{\ell,k}$ for the coefficients of the expansion

$$(1-z)\cdots(k-z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k}\nu_{\ell,k}z^\ell$$

for $k \geq 1$, $\ell \leq k$, and set $\nu_{0,0} = 1$. Then formulas (3.2) and (3.3) can be rewritten as

$$s(x) = \alpha^{-1-k}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k}\nu_{\ell,k}\delta^{(\ell)}(x-\beta).$$

Therefore Lemma 3.1 implies the following more general result.

Lemma 3.2. *Let*

$$h(t) = P(t)e^{-\alpha t} \tag{3.4}$$

where $\operatorname{Re}\alpha > 0$ and

$$P(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} p_k t^k \tag{3.5}$$

is a polynomial. Set

$$q_k = \sum_{\ell=k}^{K}\nu_{k,\ell}\alpha^{-1-\ell}p_\ell; \tag{3.6}$$

in particular, $q_K = (-1)^K\alpha^{-1-K}p_K$. Then the b- and s-functions of kernel (3.4) *equal*

$$b(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1}e^{-ix\beta}Q(x) \quad \text{where} \quad Q(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} q_k(i\xi)^k, \tag{3.7}$$

$\beta = -\ln \alpha$, *and*

$$s(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} q_k \delta^{(k)}(x-\beta). \tag{3.8}$$

Observe that distribution (3.8) is positive if and only if $\operatorname{Im}\beta = 0$, $q_k = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $q_0 > 0$. Therefore the Hankel operator with kernel (3.4), (3.5) cannot be expected to be sign-definite unless α is real and $K = 0$. Theorem 1.1 provides essentially more advanced results in this direction.

Note that for $u \in \mathcal{Z}$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta^{(k)}(x-\beta)|u(x)|^2 dx = (-1)^k \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} C_k^\ell u^{(\ell)}(\beta)\overline{u^{(k-\ell)}(\bar\beta)}$$

where C_k^ℓ are the binomial coefficients. This leads to the following result.

Lemma 3.3. *For the distribution given by formula* (3.8)*, we have*

$$\langle s, |u|^2\rangle = \sum_{j,\ell=0}^{K} s_{j,\ell} u^{(\ell)}(\beta)\overline{u^{(j)}(\bar\beta)}, \quad u \in \mathcal{Z},$$

where $s_{j,\ell} = 0$ *for* $j+\ell > K$ *and*

$$s_{j,\ell} = (-1)^{j+\ell} C_{j+\ell}^{j} q_{j+\ell} \tag{3.9}$$

for $j+\ell \leq K$*; in particular,*

$$s_{j,\ell} = (-1)^K C_K^j q_K \quad \text{for} \quad j+\ell = K.$$

It is now convenient to introduce

Definition 3.4. Let a kernel $h(t)$ be given by formulas (3.4), (3.5), and let q_k be coefficients (3.6). Denote by $\mathbf{S}$ the matrix of order $K+1$ with the elements $s_{j,\ell}$ defined in Lemma 3.3. We call $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(P,\alpha)$ the *sign-matrix* of the kernel $h(t)$.

It is only essential for our proof of Theorem 1.1 that the sign-matrix $\mathbf{S}$ is skew triangular, that is, $s_{j,\ell} = 0$ for $j+\ell > K$, and that its elements $s_{j,\ell} = C_K^j \alpha^{-1-K} p_K$ on the skew-diagonal $j+\ell = K$ are not zeros if $p_K \neq 0$. In this case $\operatorname{Det}\mathbf{S} \neq 0$. Note also that

$$\mathbf{S}(\bar P, \bar\alpha) = \mathbf{S}(P,\alpha)^*; \tag{3.10}$$

in particular, $\mathbf{S}(P,\alpha)$ is symmetric if $\alpha = \bar\alpha$ and $P(t) = \overline{P(t)}$.

Let us define the mapping $J_K(\beta) : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{C}^{K+1}$ by the relation[1]

$$J_K(\beta)u = (u(\beta), u'(\beta), \ldots, u^{(K)}(\beta))^\top. \tag{3.11}$$

Then Lemma 3.3 yields the following assertion.

---

[1] The upper index "$\top$" means that a vector is regarded as a column.

Proposition 3.5. *For a kernel $h(t)$ defined by* (3.4), (3.5), *the sign-function is given by the formula*

$$\langle s, |u|^2\rangle = (\mathbf{S}(P,\alpha)J_K(\beta)u, J_K(\bar\beta)u)_{K+1}, \quad \beta = -\ln\alpha, \tag{3.12}$$

where $(\cdot,\cdot)_{K+1}$ is the scalar product in $\mathbb{C}^{K+1}$.

Formula (3.12) is convenient for real α and $P(t)$. In the complex case, we consider the real kernel

$$h(t) = P(t)e^{-\alpha t} + \overline{P(t)}e^{-\bar\alpha t}, \quad \operatorname{Re}\alpha > 0, \quad \operatorname{Im}\alpha > 0, \tag{3.13}$$

corresponding to two complex conjugate points α and $\bar\alpha$. It follows from Proposition 3.5 that the corresponding sign-function equals

$$\langle s, |u|^2\rangle = (\mathbf{S}(P,\alpha)J_K(\beta)u, J_K(\bar\beta)u)_{K+1} + (\mathbf{S}(\bar P,\bar\alpha)J_K(\bar\beta)u, J_K(\beta)u)_{K+1}.$$

Let us rewrite this equality in the "matrix" form taking into account relation (3.10).

Proposition 3.6. *For a kernel $h(t)$ defined by* (3.5), (3.13), *the sign-function is given by the formula*

$$\langle s, |u|^2\rangle = (\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}(P,\alpha)(J_K(\beta)u, J_K(\bar\beta)u)^\top, (J_K(\beta)u, J_K(\bar\beta)u)^\top)_{2K+2}, \quad \beta = -\ln\alpha,$$

where

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}(P,\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{S}(P,\alpha)^* \\ \mathbf{S}(P,\alpha) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.14}$$

Let us now consider kernel (1.2). We can apply Proposition 3.5 to all real terms corresponding to $m = 1, \ldots, M_0$ and Proposition 3.6 to all complex conjugate terms corresponding to pairs m, $M_1 + m$ where $m = M_0 + 1, \ldots, M_1$. Various objects will be endowed with the index $m = 1, \ldots, M_0 + M_1$. Thus we set $\mathbf{S}_m = \mathbf{S}(P_m, \alpha_m)$ for $m = 1, \ldots, M_0$ and $\mathbf{S}_m = \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}(P_m, \alpha_m)$ for $m = M_0 + 1, \ldots, M_0 + M_1$. The mappings $\mathbf{J}_m = J_{K_m}(\beta_m) : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{C}^{r_m}$ are defined for $m = 1, \ldots, M_0$ by formula (3.11) where $\beta_m = -\ln\alpha_m$ and $r_m = K_m + 1$. If $m = M_0 + 1, \ldots, M_1$, we set $\mathbf{J}_m u = (J_{K_m}(\beta_m)u, J_{K_m}(\bar\beta_m)u)^\top$; then $\mathbf{J}_m : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{C}^{r_m}$ where $r_m = 2K_m + 2$.

It is convenient to rewrite the above results in the vectorial notation. We set

$$\mathbb{C}^r = \bigoplus_{m=1}^{M_0+M_1} \mathbb{C}^{r_m} \tag{3.15}$$

and introduce the mapping $\mathbf{J} : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{C}^r$ by the formula

$$\mathbf{J}u = (\mathbf{J}_1 u, \ldots, \mathbf{J}_{M_0+M_1} u)^\top. \tag{3.16}$$

The sign-matrix of kernel (1.2) is defined as the block-diagonal matrix

$$\mathbf{S} = \operatorname{diag}\{\mathbf{S}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{S}_{M_0+M_1}\}. \tag{3.17}$$

It follows from Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 that the sign-function of kernel (1.2) is given by the formula

$$\langle s, |u|^2\rangle = (\mathbf{S}\mathbf{J}u, \mathbf{J}u)_r = \sum_{m=1}^{M_0+M_1} (\mathbf{S}_m\mathbf{J}_m u, \mathbf{J}_m u)_{r_m}. \tag{3.18}$$

3.2. Below we need the following elementary assertion. We give its proof because similar arguments will be used in subs. 3.4 under less trivial circumstances.

Lemma 3.7. *Let* $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_M \in \mathbb{C}$ *and* $K_1, \dots, K_M \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. *Then there exist functions* $\psi_{k,m} \in \mathcal{Z}$ *where* $m = 1, \dots, M$ *and* $k = 0, \dots, K_m$ *such that* $\psi_{k,m}^{(l)}(\beta_n) = \delta_{m,n}\delta_{k,l}$ *for all* $n = 1, \dots, M$ *and* $l = 0, \dots, K_m$.

Proof. Choose some $m = 1, \dots, M$ and $K \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_K$ be any given numbers. It suffices to construct a function $\psi \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\psi^{(l)}(\beta_n) = 0$ for all $n \neq m$ and $\psi^{(l)}(\beta_m) = a_l$ where $l = 0, \dots, K$.

Let $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{Z}$ be an arbitrary function such that $\varphi_0(0) \neq 0$. Set $\omega(z) = 1$ if $M = 1$,

$$\omega(z) = \prod_{n=1; n\neq m}^{M} (z - \beta_n)^{K+1} \quad \text{if} \quad M \geq 2, \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$\varphi(z) = \omega(z)\varphi_0(z - \beta_m). \tag{3.20}$$

Of course $\varphi(\beta_m) \neq 0$. Let us seek the function ψ in the form

$$\psi(z) = Q(z - \beta_m)\varphi(z) \tag{3.21}$$

where

$$Q(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{K} q_j z^j \tag{3.22}$$

is a polynomial. Clearly, $\psi \in \mathcal{Z}$ and ψ has zeros of order $K + 1$ at all points β_n, $n \neq m$.

It remains to satisfy the conditions $\psi^{(l)}(\beta_m) = a_l$. In view of (3.21), (3.22) they yield the equations

$$\sum_{j=0}^{l} C_l^j j! q_j \varphi^{(l-j)}(\beta_m) = a_l, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, K, \tag{3.23}$$

for the coefficients q_j. For $l = 0$, we find that

$$q_0 = \varphi(\beta_m)^{-1} a_0. \tag{3.24}$$

Then equation (3.23) determines q_l if $q_0, \dots, q_{l-1}$ are already found. The corresponding function (3.21) satisfies all necessary conditions. □

Set $\mathsf{u}_m = (u_{0,m}, u_{1,m}, \dots, u_{K_m,m})^\top \in \mathbb{C}^{K_m+1}$ for $m = 1, \dots, M$, $\mathbf{u}_m = \mathsf{u}_m$ for $m = 1, \dots, M_0$ and $\mathbf{u}_m = (\mathsf{u}_m, \mathsf{u}_{m+M_1})^\top$ for $m = M_0 + 1, \dots, M_0 + M_1$. Then $\mathbf{u}_m \in \mathbb{C}^{r_m}$ and $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{M_0+M_1})^\top$ is an element of the direct sum (3.15). Let us define the mapping $\mathbf{Y} : \mathbb{C}^r \to \mathcal{Z}$ by the formula

$$(\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{u})(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{k=0}^{K_m} u_{k,m} \psi_{k,m}(z) \tag{3.25}$$

where $\psi_{k,m}$ are the functions constructed in Lemma 3.7. We apply this definition in the case where $\beta_m = \bar{\beta}_m$ for $m = 1, \dots, M_0$ and $\beta_m = \bar{\beta}_{m+M_1}$, $K_m = K_{m+M_1}$ for $m = M_0 + 1, \dots, M_0 + M_1$. By the definition of the functions $\psi_{k,m}$, for mapping (3.16) we have the identity

$$\mathbf{J}\mathbf{Y} = I. \tag{3.26}$$

In view of Theorem 2.3, for the proof of Theorem 1.1 we only have to calculate the numbers $N_\pm(s)$. This can be reduced to a problem of the linear algebra.

Lemma 3.8. *Let s be the sign-function of kernel* (1.2), *and let $\mathbf{S}$ be the corresponding sign-matrix defined by formula* (3.17). *Then*

$$N_\pm(s) = N_\pm(\mathbf{S}). \tag{3.27}$$

Proof. We proceed from identity (3.18). Consider, for example, the sign " $-$ ". If $\langle s, |u|^2 \rangle < 0$, then $(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_r < 0$ for $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{J}u$. This shows that $N_-(s) \leq N_-(\mathbf{S})$.

Let us prove the opposite inequality. It follows from the identities (3.18) and (3.26) that

$$\langle s, |\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{u}|^2 \rangle = (\mathbf{S}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_r.$$

Thus if $(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_r < 0$, then $\langle s, |u|^2 \rangle < 0$ for $u = \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{u}$. $\square$

3.3. It remains to calculate the numbers

$$N_\pm(\mathbf{S}) = \sum_{m=1}^{M_0+M_1} N_\pm(\mathbf{S}_m). \tag{3.28}$$

It is quite easy to find $N_\pm(\mathbf{S}_m)$ for $m \geq M_0 + 1$.

Lemma 3.9. *Under the assumptions of Proposition* 3.6 *suppose that $p_K \neq 0$. Then matrix* (3.14) *has exactly $K + 1$ positive and $K + 1$ negative eigenvalues* (*they are opposite to each other*).

Proof. Set $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(P, \alpha)$ and recall that $\operatorname{Det} \mathbf{S} \neq 0$. If $\mathbf{S}^*\mathbf{S} f = \lambda^2 f$ for some $\lambda > 0$, then

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{S}} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda f \\ \pm\mathbf{S} f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{S}^* \\ \mathbf{S} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda f \\ \pm\mathbf{S} f \end{pmatrix} = \pm\lambda \begin{pmatrix} \lambda f \\ \pm\mathbf{S} f \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we put into correspondence to every eigenvalue λ^2 of the matrix $\mathbf{S}^*\mathbf{S}$ of order $K + 1$ the eigenvalues λ and $-\lambda$ of the matrix $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ of order $2K + 2$. $\square$

In the case $m \leq M_0$ we need some information on skew triangular matrices. We consider Hermitian matrices S of order $K+1$ with elements $s_{j,\ell}$, $j,\ell = 0,\dots,K$, such that $s_{j,\ell} = \bar{s}_{\ell,j}$. We say that a matrix S is skew triangular if $s_{j,\ell} = 0$ for $j+\ell > K$. It is easy to see (reasoning, for example, by induction) that

$$\operatorname{Det} S = (-1)^{K(K+1)/2} s_{0,K} s_{1,K-1} \cdots s_{K,0}. \tag{3.29}$$

In particular, $\operatorname{Det} S \neq 0$ if (and only if) all skew diagonal elements are not zeros.

Let us first consider skew diagonal matrices.

Lemma 3.10. *Let S_0 be a Hermitian matrix of order $K+1$ such that $s_{j,\ell} = 0$ for $j+\ell \neq K$. If K is odd, then S_0 has the eigenvalues $\pm|s_{j,K-j}|$ where $j = 0,\dots,(K-1)/2$. If K is even, then S_0 has the eigenvalues $\pm|s_{j,K-j}|$ where $j = 0,\dots,K/2-1$ and the eigenvalue $s_{K/2,K/2}$.*

Proof. Let us consider the equation $S_0 f = \lambda f$ for $f = (f_0,\dots,f_K)^\top$. Since $S_0 f = (s_{0,K} f_K, s_{1,K-1} f_{K-1}, \dots, s_{K,0} f_0)^\top$ this equation is equivalent to the system

$$s_{j,K-j} f_{K-j} = \lambda f_j, \quad j = 0,\dots,K. \tag{3.30}$$

If K is odd, then (3.30) decouples into $(K+1)/2$ systems of two equations for f_j and f_{K-j} where $j = 0,\dots,(K-1)/2$. Every such system has two simple eigenvalues $\lambda = \pm\sqrt{s_{j,K-j} s_{K-j,j}} = \pm|s_{j,K-j}|$. If K is even, then (3.30) decouples into $K/2$ systems of the same two equations for f_j and f_{K-j} where $j = 0,\dots,K/2-1$ and the single equation $s_{K/2,K/2} f_{K/2} = \lambda f_{K/2}$. The last equation has of course the eigenvalue $\lambda = s_{K/2,K/2}$. □

For applications to Hankel operators, we need the following result.

Lemma 3.11. *Let S be a Hermitian skew triangular matrix of order $K+1$ such that $s_{j,K-j} \neq 0$ for $j = 0,\dots,K$. If K is odd, then S has $(K+1)/2$ positive and $(K+1)/2$ negative eigenvalues. If K is even, then S has $K/2+1$ positive and $K/2$ negative eigenvalues for $s_{K/2,K/2} > 0$ and it has $K/2$ positive and $K/2+1$ negative eigenvalues for $s_{K/2,K/2} < 0$.*

Proof. According to formula (3.29), $\operatorname{Det} S$ depends only on elements $s_{j,\ell}$ on the skew diagonal where $j+\ell = K$. Let us use that eigenvalues of S depend continuously on its matrix elements so that they cannot cross the point zero unless one of skew diagonal elements hits the zero.

Let us consider the family of matrices $S(\varepsilon)$ where $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$ with elements $s_{j,\ell}(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon s_{j,\ell}$ for $j+\ell < K$ and $s_{j,\ell}(\varepsilon) = s_{j,\ell}$ for $j+\ell \geq K$. Since $\operatorname{Det} S(\varepsilon) = \operatorname{Det} S \neq 0$ for $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$, all matrices $S(\varepsilon)$ and, in particular, $S(1) = S$ and $S(0)$, have the same numbers of positive and negative eigenvalues. So it remains to apply Lemma 3.10 to the matrix $S(0)$. □

The following result is a particular case of Lemma 3.11.

Lemma 3.12. *Let* $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(P, \alpha)$ *be the sign-matrix of kernel* (3.4), (3.5) *where* $\operatorname{Im} \alpha = 0$, $P(t) = \overline{P(t)}$ *and* $p_K \neq 0$. *The total numbers* $\mathcal{N}_+ = N_+(\mathbf{S})$ *and* $\mathcal{N}_- = N_-(\mathbf{S})$ *of strictly positive and negative eigenvalues of the matrix* $\mathbf{S}$ *are given by the equalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_+ = \mathcal{N}_- &= (K+1)/2 \quad \text{if} \quad K \quad \text{is odd} \\ \mathcal{N}_+ - 1 = \mathcal{N}_- &= K/2 \quad \text{if} \quad K \quad \text{is even and} \quad p_K > 0 \\ \mathcal{N}_+ = \mathcal{N}_- - 1 &= K/2 \quad \text{if} \quad K \quad \text{is even and} \quad p_K < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Combined with equality (3.28), Lemmas 3.9 and 3.12 show that

$$N_\pm(\mathbf{S}) = \sum_{m=1}^{M_0} \mathcal{N}_\pm^{(m)} + \sum_{m=M_0+1}^{M_0+M_1} K_m + M_1. \tag{3.31}$$

Putting this result together with relations (2.10) and (3.27), we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3.4. In this subsection we consider operators $H = H_0 + V$ where H_0 is the Carleman operator (or a more general operator) and V is a finite rank Hankel operator. Various objects related to the operator H_0 will be endowed with the index "0", and objects related to the operator V will be endowed with the index "v". Our goal is get an explicit formula for the total number $N_-(H)$ of negative eigenvalues of the operator H.

Theorem 3.13. *Suppose that the sign-function* $s_0(x)$ *of a Hankel operator* H_0 *is bounded and positive. Let the kernel* $v(t)$ *of* V *be given by the formula*

$$v(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} P_m(t) e^{-\alpha_m t}$$

where $P_m(t)$ *is a polynomial of degree* K_m. *Define the numbers* $\mathcal{N}_-^{(m)}$ *by formula* (1.4) *where* p_m *is coefficient* (1.3). *Then the total number* $N_-(H)$ *of negative eigenvalues of the operator* $H = H_0 + V$ *is given by formula* (1.5).

Comparing Theorem 1.1 for the operator V and Theorem 3.13, we can state the following result.

Theorem 3.14. *Under the assumptions of Theorem* 3.13, *we have*

$$N_-(H) = N_-(V).$$

In particular, $H \geq 0$ *if and only if* $V \geq 0$.

Since for the Carleman operator $\mathbf{C}$ the sign-function $s_0(x) = 1$, Theorem 3.14 applies to $H_0 = \mathbf{C}$ and hence implies Theorem 1.3.

The proof of Theorem 3.13 is essentially similar to that of Theorem 1.1. Relation (2.10) remains of course true but instead of (3.18) we now have

$$\langle s, |u|^2\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s_0(x)|u(x)|^2 dx + (\mathbf{S}_v \mathbf{J} u, \mathbf{J} u)_r. \tag{3.32}$$

Compared to subs. 3.2, we additionally have to consider the first term in the right-hand side of (3.32). Instead of Lemma 3.7, this requires a more special assertion.

Lemma 3.15. *Let* $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_M \in \mathbb{C}$, $K_1, \dots, K_M \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *and* $\varepsilon > 0$. *Then there exist functions* $\psi_{k,m}(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{Z}$ *where* $m = 1, \dots, M$ *and* $k = 0, \dots, K_m$ *such that* $\psi_{k,m}^{(l)}(\beta_n; \varepsilon) = \delta_{m,n}\delta_{k,l}$ *for all* $n = 1, \dots, M$ *and* $l = 0, \dots, K_m$. *Moreover, these functions satisfy the condition*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_{k,m}(x;\varepsilon)|^2 dx = O(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{3.33}$$

Proof. Choose some $m = 1, \dots, M$ and $K \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_K$ be any given numbers. It suffices to construct a function $\psi(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\psi^{(l)}(\beta_n; \varepsilon) = 0$ for all $n \neq m$ and $\psi^{(l)}(\beta_m; \varepsilon) = a_l$ where $l = 0, \dots, K$. We also have to satisfy condition (3.33) for the function $\psi(x; \varepsilon)$.

Instead of (3.20) we now set

$$\varphi(z; \varepsilon) = \omega(z)\varphi_0((z - \beta_m)/\varepsilon) \tag{3.34}$$

where, as in Lemma 3.7, $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{Z}$ is an arbitrary function such that $\varphi_0(0) \neq 0$ and $\omega(z)$ is function (3.19). We again seek the function $\psi(\varepsilon)$ in the form

$$\psi(z; \varepsilon) = Q(z - \beta_m; \varepsilon)\varphi(z; \varepsilon) \tag{3.35}$$

where Q is polynomial (3.22) with the coefficients $q_j = q_j(\varepsilon)$ depending on ε. As before, $\psi(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\psi(\varepsilon)$ has zeros of order $K + 1$ at all points z_n for $n \neq m$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$.

The conditions $\psi^{(l)}(\beta_m, \varepsilon) = a_l$ yield again equations (3.23), but now the coefficients $\varphi^{(l-j)}(\beta_m; \varepsilon)$ depend on ε. Note that $\varphi(\beta_m; \varepsilon) = \omega(\beta_m)\varphi_0(0)$ and according to (3.34)

$$|\varphi^{(k)}(\beta_m; \varepsilon)| \leq C_k \varepsilon^{-k}. \tag{3.36}$$

The coefficient q_0 is again determined by formula (3.24); it does not depend on ε. Solving equations (3.23) successively for $q_1(\varepsilon), \dots, q_K(\varepsilon)$ and using estimates (3.36) we find that

$$|q_j(\varepsilon)| \leq C_j \varepsilon^{-j}, \quad j = 0, \dots, K. \tag{3.37}$$

It follows from (3.22), (3.34) and (3.35) that, for $N=(K+1)(M-1)$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\psi(x;\varepsilon)|^2dx$$
$$\leq C\sum_{j=0}^{K}|q_j(\varepsilon)|^2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(x-\beta_m)^{2j}(1+|x-\beta_m|^{2N})|\varphi_0((x-\beta_m)/\varepsilon)|^2dx.$$

The integrals in the right-hand side are bounded by $C\varepsilon^{2j+1}$. In view of (3.37) it follows that this expression is $O(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$. $\square$

Let us return to Theorem 3.13. Recall that the sign-function of the operator H is given by equality (3.32). According to Theorem 2.3 and formula (3.31) for $N_-(\mathbf{S}_v)$, we only have to check that $N_-(s)=N_-(\mathbf{S}_v)$. Since $s_0(x)\geq 0$, we have the estimate

$$\langle s,|u|^2\rangle\geq(\mathbf{S}_v\mathbf{J}u,\mathbf{J}u)_r$$

which directly implies (cf. the proof of Lemma 3.8) that $N_-(s)\leq N_-(\mathbf{S}_v)$.

It remains to check that $N_-(s)\geq N_-(\mathbf{S}_v)$. Let $\mathbf{L}$ be the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^r$ spanned by the eigenvectors of $\mathbf{S}_v$ corresponding to its negative eigenvalues. Then $\dim\mathbf{L}=N_-(\mathbf{S}_v)$ and there exists $\lambda_0>0$ such that

$$(\mathbf{S}_v\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u})_r\leq-\lambda_0\|\mathbf{u}\|_r,\quad\forall\mathbf{u}\in\mathbf{L}. \tag{3.38}$$

We again define the function $u(\varepsilon)=\mathbf{Y}(\varepsilon)\mathbf{u}$ by formula (3.25) where $\psi_{k,m}(z,\varepsilon)$ are the functions constructed in Lemma 3.15 for sufficiently small ε. Similarly to (3.26), we have $\mathbf{J}\mathbf{Y}(\varepsilon)=I$. Since $s_0\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, it follows from equality (3.32) and estimates (3.33), (3.38) that

$$\langle s,|u(\varepsilon)|^2\rangle\leq-(\lambda_0-C\varepsilon)\|\mathbf{u}\|_r,\quad\forall\mathbf{u}\in\mathbf{L}.$$

Choosing $C\varepsilon<\lambda_0$, we see that $N_-(s)\geq\dim\mathbf{L}$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.13.

3.5. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that the b- and s-functions of kernel (1.2) are the sums (over m) of terms (3.7) and (3.8), respectively. The coefficients $q_{k,m}$ of the corresponding polynomials $Q_m(\xi)$ are constructed by formula (3.6) in terms of the coefficients $p_{k,m}$ of the polynomials $P_m(t)$.

It turns out that formulas (3.7) or (3.8) for the b- or s-functions characterize finite rank Hankel operators. Moreover, the coefficients of the polynomials $P_m(t)$ are determined by the coefficients of the polynomials $Q_m(\xi)$. This follows from the assertion below.

Lemma 3.16. *If a function $b(\xi)$ is defined by formula* (3.7)*, then there exists the unique polynomial $P(t)$ of degree K such that $b(\xi)$ is the b-function of the kernel $h(t)=P(t)e^{-\alpha t}$ where $\alpha=e^{-\beta}$.*

Proof. Let us solve equations (3.6) for the coefficients p_k where $k = K, K-1, \dots, 0$. Recall that $\nu_{k,k} = (-1)^k$. Therefore according to equation (3.6) where $k = K$ we have

$$p_K = (-1)^K \alpha^{1+K} q_K$$

and, more generally,

$$p_k = (-1)^K \alpha^{1+K} q_k - (-1)^K \sum_{\ell=k+1}^{K} \nu_{k,\ell} \alpha^{k-\ell} p_\ell.$$

Thus we can successively find all coefficients $p_K, p_{K-1}, \dots, p_0$. ☐

Since the functions $b(\xi)$ and $s(x)$ are obtained from each other by the Fourier transform, Lemma 3.16 can be equivalently reformulated in terms of the sign-functions. Of course the reconstructions of $h(t)$ by formula (2.9) and by the method of Lemma 3.16 are consistent with each other.

Finally, we state an equivalent assertion in terms of the sign-matrices $\mathbf{S}$ (see Definition 3.4). We recall that the sign-matrix $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{P}, \alpha)$ of the kernel $h(t)$ defined by (3.4), (3.5) is skew triangular and its matrix elements $s_{j,\ell} = C_K^j \alpha^{-1-K} p_K$ if $j + \ell = K$. As usual, we suppose that $p_K \neq 0$. Moreover, the matrix $\mathbf{S}$ possesses an additional property: the numbers

$$\ell! j! s_{\ell,j} =: \rho_{\ell+j}, \quad \ell, j = 0, 1, \dots, K, \tag{3.39}$$

depend on the sum $\ell + j$ only. We also note that the matrix $\mathbf{S}$ is symmetric if $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$ and $P(t) = \overline{P(t)}$.

The following assertion shows that there is one-to-one correspondence between Hankel kernels $h(t) = P(t)e^{-\alpha t}$ and such matrices.

Lemma 3.17. *Let elements $s_{\ell,j}$ of a skew triangular matrix S of order $K+1$ satisfy condition* (3.39). *Then, for every α with $\operatorname{Re}\alpha > 0$, there exists the unique polynomial $P(t)$ of degree K such that $S = \mathbf{S}(P, \alpha)$ is the sign-matrix of the kernel $h(t) = P(t)e^{-\alpha t}$.*

Proof. Comparing relations (3.9) and (3.39), we see that $q_k = (-1)^k k!^{-1} \rho_k$. Thus it remains to use Lemma 3.16. ☐

4. Various representations of Hankel operators

Hankel operators can be realized in various spaces. We distinguish four representations: in the spaces $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T})$, $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$, $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. The last one was already used above. For the precise definitions of the Hardy classes $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$, see, e.g., the book [1]. In this text we describe bounded Hankel operators in terms of their quadratic forms. Our presentation seems to be somewhat different from those in the books [6, 7].

4.1. Let us start with the representation of Hankel operators in the Hardy space $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{T})$ of functions analytic in the unit disc. An operator $\mathbf{G}$ in the space $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T})$ is called Hankel if its quadratic form admits the representation

$$(\mathbf{G}u, u) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \omega(\mu) u(\bar{\mu}) \overline{u(\mu)} d\mathbf{m}(\mu), \quad d\mathbf{m}(\mu) = (2\pi i \mu)^{-1} d\mu, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T}), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Note that $d\mathbf{m}(\mu)$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$ normalized so that $\mathbf{m}(\mathbb{T}) = 1$. The operator $\mathbf{G}$ is determined by the function $\omega(\mu)$, that is, $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}(\omega)$. The function $\omega(\mu)$ is known as the symbol of the Hankel operator $\mathbf{G}(\omega)$. Of course the symbol is not unique because $\mathbf{G}(\omega_1) = \mathbf{G}(\omega_2)$ if (and only if) $\omega_1 - \omega_2 \in \mathbb{H}^\infty_-(\mathbb{T})$ (the space of analytic functions outside of the unit disc bounded and decaying at infinity).

Hankel operators in the Hardy space $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ of functions analytic in the upper half-plane are defined quite similarly. An operator $\mathbf{H}$ in the space $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$ is called Hankel if its quadratic form admits the representation

$$(\mathbf{H}w, w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(\lambda) w(-\lambda) \overline{w(\lambda)} d\lambda, \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R}), \tag{4.2}$$

where $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. The operator $\mathbf{H}$ is determined by the function $\varphi(\lambda)$, that is, $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}(\varphi)$. The function $\varphi(\lambda)$ is known as the symbol of the Hankel operator $\mathbf{H}(\varphi)$. Of course the symbol is not unique because $\mathbf{H}(\varphi_1) = \mathbf{H}(\varphi_2)$ if (and only if) $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 \in \mathbb{H}^\infty_-(\mathbb{R})$ (the space of bounded analytic functions in the lower half-plane).

In the space $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ of sequences $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots)$, a Hankel operator G is defined via its quadratic form

$$(G\xi, \xi) = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \varkappa_{n+m} \xi_m \bar{\xi}_n. \tag{4.3}$$

It is first considered on vectors ξ with only a finite number of non-zero components, and it is supposed that

$$\Big| \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \varkappa_{n+m} \xi_m \bar{\xi}_n \Big| \leq C \|\xi\|^2. \tag{4.4}$$

Then there exists a bounded operator G such that relation (4.3) holds. We note that condition (4.4) directly implies that $\varkappa = (\varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \ldots) \in l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$. Indeed, passing from the quadratic form for ξ to the sesquilinear form for ξ, η and choosing $\eta = (1, 0, 0, \ldots)$, we see that

$$\Big| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varkappa_n \xi_m \Big| \leq C \|\xi\|,$$

whence $\varkappa \in l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$.

Finally in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, a Hankel operator H is defined via its quadratic form

$$(Hf, f) = \langle h, \bar{f} \star f \rangle \tag{4.5}$$

where $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $\bar{f} \star f$ is the Laplace convolution (2.1) (note that $\bar{f} \star f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$) and the distribution $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)'$. If

$$|\langle h, \bar{f} \star f \rangle| \leq C \|f\|^2, \tag{4.6}$$

then there exists a bounded operator H such that relation (4.5) holds.

It is easy to see that Hankel operators $\mathbf{G}$, $\mathbf{H}$, G and H are self-adjoint if

$$\omega(\bar{\mu}) = \overline{\omega(\mu)}, \quad \varphi(-\lambda) = \overline{\varphi(\lambda)}, \quad \varkappa_n = \bar{\varkappa}_n \quad \text{and} \quad h(t) = \overline{h(t)},$$

respectively.

4.2. Let us establish one-to-one correspondences between the representations of Hankel operators in the spaces $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T})$, $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$, $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Let us introduce the notation $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H})$ for the linear set of all bounded Hankel operators acting in one of these four Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}$. It is easy to see that $H^* \in \mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H})$ together with H and that $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H})$ is a closed set in the weak operator topology.

Recall that the function

$$\zeta = \frac{z - i}{z + i} \tag{4.7}$$

determines a conformal mapping $z \mapsto \zeta$ of the upper half-plane onto the unit disc. The unitary operator $\mathcal{U} : \mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T}) \to \mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$ corresponding to this mapping is defined by the equality

$$(\mathcal{U}u)(\lambda) = \pi^{-1/2}(\lambda + i)^{-1} u\big(\tfrac{\lambda - i}{\lambda + i}\big). \tag{4.8}$$

Making the change of variables (4.7) in (4.1), we see that

$$\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{A}(\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T})) \iff \mathbf{H} = \mathcal{U}\mathbf{G}\mathcal{U}^* \in \mathbb{A}(\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R}))$$

if the symbols ω of $\mathbf{G}$ and φ of $\mathbf{H}$ are linked by the formula

$$\varphi(\lambda) = -\frac{\lambda - i}{\lambda + i}\, \omega\big(\tfrac{\lambda - i}{\lambda + i}\big). \tag{4.9}$$

The unitary mapping $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T}) \to l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ corresponds to expanding a function in the Fourier series:

$$\xi_n = (\mathcal{F}u)_n = \int_{\mathbb{T}} u(\mu)\mu^{-n} d\mathbf{m}(\mu).$$

Conversely, for a sequence $\xi = \{\xi_n\}$, we have

$$u(\mu) = (\mathcal{F}^*\xi)(\mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n \mu^n.$$

Substituting this expansion into (4.1), we see that

$$(\mathbf{G}u,u)=\sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty}\varkappa_{n+m}\xi_m\bar{\xi}_n \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$\varkappa_n=(\mathcal{F}\omega)_n,\quad n\in\mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{4.11}$$

If $\omega\in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, then expression (4.10) satisfies estimate (4.4), and hence the operator G defined by relation (4.3) is bounded. Thus the inclusion $\mathbf{G}\in\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T}))$ implies that $G=\mathcal{F}\mathbf{G}\mathcal{F}^*\in\mathbb{A}(l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+))$.

Conversely, according to the Nehari theorem (see the original paper [5], or the books [6], Chapter 1, §1 or [7], Chapter 1, §2) under assumption (4.4) there exists a function $\omega\in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ such that equalities (4.11) hold. Hence the operator $\mathbf{G}$ defined by relation (4.10) satisfies also (4.1). Thus for every $G\in\mathbb{A}(l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+))$ the operator $\mathbf{G}=\mathcal{F}^*G\mathcal{F}\in\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T}))$.

To show that the inclusions $\mathbf{H}\in\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R}))$ and $H=\Phi\mathbf{H}\Phi^*\in\mathbb{A}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))$ are equivalent, we need the continuous version of the Nehari theorem. We give only its brief proof referring to subs. 3.2 of [10] for details.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $h\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)'$. Then estimate (4.6) is satisfied if and only if there exists a function $\varphi\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$h=(2\pi)^{-1/2}\Phi\varphi. \tag{4.12}$$

In this case

$$H=\Phi\mathbf{H}\Phi^* \tag{4.13}$$

where $\mathbf{H}$ is the Hankel operator in the space $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$ with symbol φ.

Proof. Let (4.12) hold true. Passing to the Fourier transforms, we see that

$$\langle h,\bar{f}\star f\rangle=\sqrt{2\pi}\langle\Phi^*h,\bar{w}_*w\rangle,\quad\forall f\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+),\quad w=\Phi^*f,\quad\forall h\in\mathcal{S}', \tag{4.14}$$

where $w_*(\lambda)=w(-\lambda)$. Under assumption (4.12) the right-hand sides here and in (4.2) coincide, and estimate (4.6) is true if $\varphi\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Equality (4.14) implies (4.13).

Conversely, let (4.6) be satisfied so that there exists a bounded operator H satisfying relation (4.5). Define the shift $T(\tau)$, $\tau\geq 0$, in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$: $(T(\tau)f)(t)=f(t-\tau)$. Observe that $(T(\tau)\bar{f})\star f=\bar{f}\star(T(\tau)f)$ whence $HT(\tau)=T(\tau)^*H$ for all $\tau\geq 0$. It follows that $H\Sigma=\Sigma^*H$ where

$$\Sigma=-2\int_0^\infty T(\tau)e^{-\tau}d\tau\quad\text{or}\quad(\Sigma f)(t)=-2e^{-t}\int_0^t e^sf(s)ds. \tag{4.15}$$

Passing to the Fourier transforms, we see that the operator $\mathbf{H}=\Phi^*H\Phi$ satisfies the commutation relation

$$\mathbf{H\Sigma}=\mathbf{\Sigma}^*\mathbf{H} \tag{4.16}$$

where according to the second equality (4.15), $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is the operator of multiplication by the function $(\lambda - i)(\lambda + i)^{-1}$ in the space $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$. Translating the Nehari theorem from the space $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T})$ into $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$, we see that relation (4.16) implies that $\mathbf{H}$ is a Hankel operator with symbol $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. ☐

Finally, we note that the representations in the spaces $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ can be directly connected by the unitary operator $\mathcal{L}$ constructed in terms of the Laguerre functions. But we not need this construction here.

The relations between different representations can be summarized by the following diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
u(\mu) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & w(\lambda) = (\mathcal{U}u)(\nu) \arrow[d] & \mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T}) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{U}"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{F}"] & \mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R}) \arrow[d, "\Phi"] \\
\xi_n = (\mathcal{F}u)_n \arrow[r] & f(t) = (\Phi w)(t) & l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{L}"] & L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.17}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{H} = \mathcal{U}\mathbf{G}\mathcal{U}^* \arrow[d] & \omega(\mu) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \varphi(\lambda) \arrow[d] \\
G = \mathcal{F}\mathbf{G}\mathcal{F}^* \arrow[r] & H = \Phi\mathbf{H}\Phi^* & \varkappa_n \arrow[r] & h(t)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.18}$$

Of course, the unitary transformations $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U}, \Phi$ and $\mathcal{L}$ realizing isomorphisms in (4.17) are not unique. We can compose each of them with an automorphism of the corresponding set of Hankel operators $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H})$. The group $\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{H})$ of automorphisms of the set $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H})$ will be described in the Appendix.

5. Finite rank Hankel operators in the spaces $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T})$ and $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$

Here we reformulate Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 in terms of Hankel operators acting in the Hardy spaces $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T})$ of analytic functions and in the space of sequences $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$. We proceed from relations between various representations described in Section 4. Now we have to specify diagrams (4.18) for finite rank Hankel operators.

5.1. Originally, the Kronecker theorem was formulated in the space $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ (see the paper [3] or the book [6], Theorem 3.1 in Chapter 1). It states that, for a Hankel operator G of rank r determined by the sequence $\varkappa_n$, the function

$$\omega(\zeta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varkappa_n \zeta^n \tag{5.1}$$

is rational, i.e., $\omega(\zeta) = \mathcal{P}(\zeta)\mathcal{Q}(\zeta)^{-1}$ where $\mathcal{P}(\zeta)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(\zeta)$ are polynomials of degrees $\deg \mathcal{P} \leq r - 1$ and $\deg \mathcal{Q} \leq r$. Since $\omega \in \mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T})$, its poles lie outside of the unit disc. It follows that for some numbers $\gamma_m \in \mathbb{C}$ and $K_m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $m = 2, \dots, M$, function (5.1) admits the representation

$$\omega(\zeta) = R_1(\zeta) + \sum_{m=2}^{M} R_m(\zeta)(\zeta - \gamma_m)^{-K_m-1}, \ |\gamma_m| > 1, \quad R_m(\gamma_m) \neq 0 \text{ for } m \geq 2, \tag{5.2}$$

where all $R_m(\zeta)$ are polynomials and $\deg R_m \leq K_m$ for $m \geq 2$. Note that

$$\operatorname{rank} G = \deg R_1 + \sum_{m=2}^{M} K_m + M.$$

If $\mathbf{G}$ is a finite rank Hankel operator in the space $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T})$, then we can apply the Kronecker theorem to the operator $G = \mathcal{F}\mathbf{G}\mathcal{F}^*$ acting in $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$. Hence a symbol $\omega(\zeta)$ of $\mathbf{G}$ can be chosen in the form (5.2).

Similarly, a symbol $\varphi(z)$ of a finite rank Hankel operator $\mathbf{H}$ in the space $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$ can be chosen in the form

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} Q_m(z)(\alpha_m - iz)^{-K_m-1}, \quad \operatorname{Re}\alpha_m > 0, \quad Q_m(-i\alpha_m) \neq 0, \tag{5.3}$$

where $Q_m(z)$ are polynomials and $\deg Q_m \leq K_m$. The relation between symbols (5.2) and (5.3) of the operators $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{H} = \mathcal{U}\mathbf{G}\mathcal{U}^*$ is given by formula (4.9). In particular, we have

$$\alpha_m = \frac{\gamma_m + 1}{\gamma_m - 1}$$

and

$$\begin{gathered} Q_1(-i) = (-1)^{K_1} 2^{K_1+1} \frac{1}{K_1!} R_1^{(K_1)}, \quad K_1 = \deg R_1, \quad \alpha_1 = 1, \\ Q_m(-i\alpha_m) = -2^{K_m+1}\gamma_m(\gamma_m - 1)^{-2K_m-2} R_m(\gamma_m), \quad \alpha_m \neq 1. \end{gathered} \tag{5.4}$$

Since

$$k! \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\alpha - iz)^{-k-1} e^{-izt} dz = 2\pi t^k e^{-\alpha t}, \quad \operatorname{Re}\alpha > 0,$$

the operator $H = \Phi\mathbf{H}\Phi^*$ acts by formula (1.1) and has kernel (1.2) where $P_m(t)$ is a polynomial of degree K_m and

$$P_m^{(K_m)} = Q_m(-i\alpha_m). \tag{5.5}$$

Thus, as stated in the Introduction, all finite rank Hankel operators in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ are given by formulas (1.1), (1.2).

Finally, for a finite rank Hankel operator G in the space $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$, the operator $\mathbf{G} = \mathcal{F}^* G \mathcal{F}$ has symbol (5.2). Expanding this function into the Fourier series and using relation (4.11), we find that

$$\varkappa_n = \tau_n + \sum_{m=2}^{M} T_m(n) q_m^n, \quad |q_m| < 1, \tag{5.6}$$

where $\tau_n = 0$ for $n \geq K_1 + 1$ and T_m are polynomials of degree K_m. We note that $q_m = \gamma_m^{-1}$ and

$$K_1! \tau_{K_1} = R_1^{(K_1)}, \quad T_m^{(K_m)} = (-1)^{K_m+1} q_m^{K_m+1} R(\gamma_m). \tag{5.7}$$

Let us now consider the self-adjoint case. If $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}^*$ and the sum in (5.2) contains a term with γ_m, then necessarily it also contains the term with $\bar{\gamma}_m$. We suppose that $\operatorname{Im} \gamma_m = 0$ for $m = 2, \dots, M_0$ and $\operatorname{Im} \gamma_m < 0$, $\gamma_{M_1+m} = \bar{\gamma}_m$ for $m = M_0 + 1, \dots, M_0 + M_1$. Then $\overline{R_m(\bar{\zeta})} = R_m(\zeta)$ for $m = 1, \dots, M_0$ and $\overline{R_{M_1+m}(\bar{\zeta})} = R_m(\zeta)$ for $m = M_0 + 1, \dots, M_0 + M_1$.

Similarly, if $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}^*$ and the sum in (5.3) contains a term with α_m, then necessarily it also contains the term with $\bar{\alpha}_m$. We again suppose that $\operatorname{Im} \alpha_m = 0$ for $m = 1, \dots, M_0$ and $\operatorname{Im} \alpha_m > 0$, $\alpha_{M_1+m} = \bar{\alpha}_m$ for $m = M_0 + 1, \dots, M_0 + M_1$. Then $\overline{Q_m(\bar{z})} = Q_m(-z)$ for $m = 1, \dots, M_0$ and $\overline{Q_{M_1+m}(\bar{z})} = Q_m(-z)$ for $m = M_0 + 1, \dots, M_0 + M_1$.

Finally, if $G = G^*$, then necessarily $\tau_n = \bar{\tau}_n$ and if the sum in (5.6) contains a term $T_m(n) q_m^n$, then it also contains the term $\overline{T_m(n)} \bar{q}_m^n$. We suppose that $\operatorname{Im} q_m = 0$ for $m = 2, \dots, M_0$, $\operatorname{Im} q_m > 0$ for $m = M_0 + 1, \dots, M_0 + M_1$ and $q_{M_1+m} = \bar{q}_m$ for $m = M_0 + 1, \dots, M_0 + M_1$. The coefficients of the polynomials T_m for $m = 2, \dots, M_0$ are of course real.

5.2. Now we are in a position to reformulate Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 in various representations of Hankel operators. Recall that the numbers p_m were defined by formula (1.3). Let us start with finite rank Hankel operators $\mathbf{H}$ in the space $\mathbb{H}_+^2(\mathbb{R})$. Given the one-to-one correspondence between Hankel operators with kernels (1.2) and symbols (5.3) and, in particular, equality (5.5), the following result is equivalent to Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 5.1. *Let the symbol of a self-adjoint Hankel operator* $\mathbf{H}$ *in the space* $\mathbb{H}_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ *be given by formula* (5.3) *where* $Q_m(z)$ *are polynomials of degree* $\deg Q_m \leq K_m$. *Let the numbers* $\mathcal{N}_\pm^{(m)}$ *be defined by formula* (1.4) *where* $\mathsf{p}_m = Q_m(-i\alpha_m)$. *Then the total numbers* $N_\pm(\mathbf{H})$ *of* (*strictly*) *positive and negative eigenvalues of the operator* $\mathbf{H}$ *are given by the formula* (1.5).

In particular (cf. Corollary 1.2), $\mathbf{H} \geq 0$ ($\mathbf{H} \leq 0$) if and only if all poles of its symbol lie on the imaginary axis, are simple, the real parts of the residues are equal to zero and their imaginary parts are positive (negative).

Note also that according to (4.12) the symbol of the Carleman operator can be chosen as $\varphi_0(\lambda) = \pi i \operatorname{sgn} \lambda$. Therefore the next result is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 5.2. *Let* $\mathbf{H}$ *be the Hankel operator with symbol* $\pi i \operatorname{sgn} \lambda + \varphi(\lambda)$ *where* $\varphi(\lambda)$ *is function* (5.3)*. Then the total number* $N_-(\mathbf{H})$ *of its negative eigenvalues is given by formula* (1.5)*.*

Quite similarly, given the one-to-one correspondence between Hankel operators with symbols (5.2) and (5.3) and, in particular, equalities (5.4), the following result is equivalent to Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 5.3. *Let the symbol of a self-adjoint Hankel operator* $\mathbf{G}$ *in the space* $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T})$ *be given by formula* (5.2) *where* $R_m(\zeta)$ *are polynomials of degree* $\deg R_m \leq K_m$*. Let the numbers* $\mathcal{N}_\pm^{(m)}$ *be defined by formula* (1.4) *where*

$$\mathsf{p}_1 = R_1^{(K_1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{p}_m = -R_m(\gamma_m) \operatorname{sgn} \gamma_m \quad \text{if} \quad m = 2, \dots, M_0.$$

Then the total numbers $N_\pm(\mathbf{G})$ *of* (*strictly*) *positive and negative eigenvalues of the operator* $\mathbf{G}$ *are given by the formula* (1.5) (*if* $R_1(\zeta) = 0$*, then the first sum in* (1.5) *starts with* $m = 2$)*.*

In particular (cf. Corollary 1.2), $\mathbf{G} \geq 0$ ($\mathbf{G} \leq 0$) if and only if all poles of its symbol lie on the real axis, are simple and the residues are positive (negative); moreover, it is required that $\deg R_1 = 0$ and $R_1 \geq 0$ ($R_1 \leq 0$).

Theorem 5.2 can also be reformulated in an obvious way in terms of Hankel operators in the space $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T})$.

Theorem 5.4. *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be the Hankel operator with symbol* $\pi i \mu^{-1} \operatorname{sgn} \operatorname{Im} \mu + \omega(\mu)$ *where* $\omega(\mu)$ *is function* (5.2)*. Then the total number* $N_-(\mathbf{G})$ *of its negative eigenvalues is given by formula* (1.5)*.*

Finally, we use the one-to-one correspondence between Hankel operators with symbols (5.2) and with matrix elements (5.6) and, in particular, equalities (5.7). Therefore the following result is equivalent to Theorem 5.3.

Theorem 5.5. *Let* G *be a finite rank Hankel operator in the space* $L^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ *with matrix elements* (5.6) *where* $\tau_n = 0$ *for* $n > K_1$, $\tau_{K_1} \neq 0$ *and* T_m *are polynomials of degree* K_m*. Let the numbers* $\mathcal{N}_\pm^{(m)}$ *be defined by formula* (1.4) *where*

$$\mathsf{p}_1 = \tau_{K_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{p}_m = T_m^{(K_m)} \quad \text{if} \quad m = 2, \dots, M_0.$$

Then the total numbers $N_\pm(G)$ *of* (*strictly*) *positive and negative eigenvalues of the operator* G *are given by the formula* (1.5) (*if* $\tau_n = 0$ *for all* $n \geq 0$*, then the first sum in* (1.5) *starts with* $m = 2$)*.*

In particular (cf. Corollary 1.2), $G \geq 0$ ($G \leq 0$) if and only if

$$\varkappa_n = t_1 \delta_{n,0} + \sum_{m=2}^{M_0} t_m q_m^n, \quad q_m \in (-1, 1),$$

where all numbers $t_1, \dots, t_{M_0}$ are positive (negative).

Theorem 5.4 can also be reformulated in an obvious way in terms of operators in the space $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ if one takes into account that the matrix elements of the Carleman operator equal $\varkappa_n^{(0)} = 2(n+1)^{-1}$ for n even and $\varkappa_n^{(0)} = 0$ for n odd.

Theorem 5.6. *Let G be the Hankel operator in the space $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ with matrix elements $\varkappa_n^{(0)} + \varkappa_n$ where the numbers $\varkappa_n$ are defined by formula* (5.6)*. Then the total number $N_-(G)$ of its negative eigenvalues is given by formula* (1.5)*.*

Appendix A. The automorphism group of Hankel operators

A.1. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be one of the spaces $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$, $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T})$ or $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$. Our goal here is to describe the group $\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{H})$ of all automorphisms of the set $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H})$ of Hankel operators in $\mathcal{H}$. By definition, a unitary operator $U \in \mathbb{G}(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if $UHU^* \in \mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $H \in \mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H})$. Of course, for a Hankel operator H and an arbitrary unitary operator U, the operator UHU^* is not necessarily Hankel. Hence the group $\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{H})$ is smaller than the group of all unitary operators. It turns out that this group admits a simple description.

It is sufficient to describe $\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{H})$ for one of the spaces $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$, $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T})$ or $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$. We choose $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$. Then other groups are obtained by conjugations with the unitary transformations $\Phi, \mathcal{U}^*$ and $\mathcal{F}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{G}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)) &= \Phi\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R}))\Phi^*,\\ \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T})) &= \mathcal{U}^*\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R}))\mathcal{U},\\ \mathbb{G}(l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)) &= \mathcal{F}\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{T}))\mathcal{F}^*.\end{aligned}$$

Let us define the dilation operators $\mathbf{D}_\rho$, $\rho > 0$, in the space $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$:

$$(\mathbf{D}_\rho u)(\lambda) = \rho^{1/2} u(\rho\lambda).$$

Obviously, the operators $\mathbf{D}_\rho$ are unitary. Set

$$(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} u)(\lambda) = i\lambda^{-1} u(-\lambda^{-1}).$$

Then $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} : \mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}$ is the involution, i.e. $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}^2$, and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}$ is also unitary. It is easy to see that

$$\mathbf{D}_\rho \mathbf{H}(\varphi)\mathbf{D}_\rho^* = \mathbf{H}(\varphi_\rho) \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{H}(\varphi)\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}^* = \mathbf{H}(\tilde{\varphi}) \tag{A.1}$$

where $\varphi_\rho(\lambda) = \varphi(\rho\lambda)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(\lambda) = \varphi(-\lambda^{-1})$. In particular, $\mathbf{D}_\rho \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R}))$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R}))$. It turns out that the group $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R}))$ is exhausted by these transformations. Let us state the precise result.

Theorem A.1. *A unitary operator $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R}))$ if and only if it has one of the two forms: $\mathbf{U} = \theta\mathbf{D}_\rho$ or $\mathbf{U} = \theta\mathbf{D}_\rho\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\rho > 0$.*

Actually, we shall prove a stronger statement.

Theorem A.2. *Let* $\mathbf{H}_\alpha$ *be the Hankel operator in the space* $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$ *with symbol* $\varphi_\alpha(\lambda) = 2\alpha(\alpha - i\lambda)^{-1}$. *Suppose that an operator* $\mathbf{U}$ *is unitary and* $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{H}_\alpha\mathbf{U}^* \in \mathbb{A}(\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R}))$ *for all* $\alpha > 0$. *Then either* $\mathbf{U} = \theta\mathbf{D}_\rho$ *or* $\mathbf{U} = \theta\mathbf{D}_\rho\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}$ *for some* $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$ *and* $\rho > 0$.

Proof. Set $U = \Phi\mathbf{U}\Phi^*$ and $H_\alpha = \Phi\mathbf{H}_\alpha\Phi^*$. It follows from formula (4.12) that H_α is the Hankel operator in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with kernel $h_\alpha(t) = 2\alpha e^{-\alpha t}$, that is, $H_\alpha f = (f, \psi_\alpha)\psi_\alpha$ where

$$\psi_\alpha(t) = \sqrt{2\alpha}e^{-\alpha t}. \tag{A.2}$$

By our assumption, the operator $UH_\alpha U^* \in \mathbb{A}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))$. It has rank one, and its non-zero eigenvalue equals 1. By the Kronecker theorem, all rank one Hankel operators have kernels $pe^{-\beta t}$ for some $p, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}\beta > 0$. They are self-adjoint and have the eigenvalue 1 if and only if $\beta > 0$ and $p = \sqrt{2\beta}$. Therefore

$$UH_\alpha U^* = H_\beta$$

and hence

$$(f, U\psi_\alpha)U\psi_\alpha = (f, \psi_\beta)\psi_\beta$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and some $\beta = v(\alpha)$. It follows that

$$U\psi_\alpha = \theta(\alpha)\psi_{v(\alpha)}, \quad |\theta(\alpha)| = 1. \tag{A.3}$$

We have to find the functions $\theta(\alpha)$ and $v(\alpha)$. Let us take the unitarity of U into account. Since $(U\psi_{\alpha_1}, U\psi_{\alpha_2}) = (\psi_{\alpha_1}, \psi_{\alpha_2})$, relation (A.3) implies that

$$\theta(\alpha_1)\overline{\theta(\alpha_2)}(\psi_{v(\alpha_1)}, \psi_{v(\alpha_2)}) = (\psi_{\alpha_1}, \psi_{\alpha_2}), \quad \forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0. \tag{A.4}$$

Note that $\psi_\alpha(t) > 0$ for all $\alpha > 0$ and $t > 0$ and hence $\theta(\alpha_1)\overline{\theta(\alpha_2)} > 0$. Using also that $|\theta(\alpha)| = 1$, we see that $\theta(\alpha) = \theta(1)$ for all $\alpha > 0$; thus $\theta(\alpha) =: \theta$ does not depend on α. Returning to (A.4) and using the explicit expression (A.2) for $\psi_\alpha(t)$, we obtain the equation

$$\frac{\sqrt{v(\alpha_1)v(\alpha_2)}}{v(\alpha_1) + v(\alpha_2)} = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}. \tag{A.5}$$

Set here $\alpha_1 = 1$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha$. Equation (A.5) for $v(\alpha)$ has two solutions

$$v(\alpha) = v(1)\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad v(\alpha) = v(1)\alpha^{-1}.$$

It now follows from (A.3) that

$$U\psi_\alpha = \theta\psi_{\rho^{-1}\alpha} \quad \text{or} \quad U\psi_\alpha = \theta\psi_{(\rho\alpha)^{-1}}$$

where $\rho = v(1)^{-1}$. Since $\Phi\varphi_\alpha = 2\sqrt{\pi\alpha}\psi_\alpha$, these equalities can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{U}\varphi_\alpha = \theta\sqrt{\rho}\varphi_{\rho^{-1}\alpha} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{U}\varphi_\alpha = \theta\alpha\sqrt{\rho}\varphi_{(\rho\alpha)^{-1}}. \tag{A.6}$$

Note that

$$\mathbf{D}_\rho\varphi_\alpha = \sqrt{\rho}\varphi_{\rho^{-1}\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}\varphi_\alpha = \alpha\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}.$$

Hence (A.6) are equivalent to the equalities

$$\mathbf{U}\varphi_\alpha = \theta \mathbf{D}_\rho \varphi_\alpha \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{U}\varphi_\alpha = \theta \mathbf{D}_\rho \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} \varphi_\alpha, \quad \forall \alpha > 0.$$

It remains to extend these relations to the whole space $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$. To that end, we have to show that the set of the functions φ_α where $\alpha > 0$ is arbitrary is dense in $\mathbb{H}^2_+(\mathbb{R})$ or, equivalently, that the set of the functions ψ_α is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Set

$$(Lf)(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} f(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

This operator is self-adjoint and bounded in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. It has purely absolutely continuous spectrum (see, e.g., [8]). Therefore its range is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. ☐

A.2. Let us now describe the group $\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{H})$ in other representations of the space $\mathcal{H}$. In view of Theorem A.1, to that end we only have to calculate the operators

$$\mathbf{W}_\rho = \mathcal{U}^* \mathbf{D}_\rho \mathcal{U}, \quad D_\rho = \Phi \mathbf{D}_\rho \Phi^*, \quad W_\rho = \mathcal{F} \mathbf{W}_\rho \mathcal{F}^*$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{U}^* \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{U}, \quad \mathcal{I} = \Phi \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} \Phi^*, \quad \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{F} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{F}^*$$

acting in the spaces $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{T})$, $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$, respectively.

According to formula (4.8) we have

$$(\mathbf{W}_\rho f)(\mu) = \tfrac{2\rho^{1/2}}{\rho+1} \tfrac{1}{\tau(\rho)\mu+1} f\big(\tfrac{\mu+\tau(\rho)}{\tau(\rho)\mu+1}\big), \quad \tau(\rho) = \tfrac{\rho-1}{\rho+1} \in (-1,1),$$

and

$$(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}} f)(\mu) = f(-\mu). \tag{A.7}$$

The role of (A.1) is now played by the relations

$$\mathbf{W}_\rho \mathbf{G}(\omega) \mathbf{W}_\rho^* = \mathbf{G}(\omega_\rho) \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{G}(\omega) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}^* = \mathbf{G}(\tilde{\omega})$$

where

$$\omega_\rho(\mu) = \omega\big(\tfrac{\mu+\tau(\rho)}{\tau(\rho)\mu+1}\big) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\omega}(\mu) = \omega(-\mu).$$

The operator D_ρ is again the dilation, $(D_\rho f)(t) = \rho^{-1/2} f(\rho^{-1} t)$, and for a Hankel operator H with kernel $h(t)$, the operator $D_\rho H D_\rho^*$ has kernel $h_\rho(t) = h(\rho^{-1}t)$. Apparently there is no simple formula for the operator $\mathcal{I}$.

It follows from (A.7) that $(\mathcal{J}\xi)_n = (-1)^n \xi_n$, and for a Hankel operator G with matrix elements $\varkappa_n$, the operator $\mathcal{J} G \mathcal{J}^*$ has matrix elements $(-1)^n \varkappa_n$. On the contrary, there seems to be no direct expression for the operators W_ρ.

References

[1] K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New York, 1962.

[2] J. S. Howland, *Spectral theory of operators of Hankel type. I, II* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **41** (1992), no. 2, 409–426 and 427–434.

[3] L. Kronecker, *Zur Theorie der Elimination einer Variablen aus zwei algebraischen Gleichungen*, Monatsber. Königl. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 1881, 535-600.

[4] A. V. Megretskii, V. V. Peller, and S. R. Treil, *The inverse spectral problem for self-adjoint Hankel operators*, Acta Math. **174** (1995), 241-309.

[5] Z. Nehari, *On bounded bilinear forms*, Ann. Math. **65** (1957), 153-162.

[6] V. V. Peller, *Hankel operators and their applications*, Springer Verlag, 2002.

[7] S. R. Power, *Hankel operators on Hilbert space*, Pitnam, Boston, 1982.

[8] D. R. Yafaev, *The discrete spectrum in the singular Friedrichs model*, in: Advances in Math. Sciences, AMS, v. 189, 255-274, 1999.

[9] D. R. Yafaev, *Spectral and scattering theory for perturbations of the Carleman operator*, ArXiv: 1210.5709; Algebra and Analysis **25** (2013), 256-283.

[10] D. R. Yafaev, *Criteria for Hankel operators to be sign-definite*, ArXiv: 1303.4040.

IRMAR, Université de Rennes I, Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes Cedex, FRANCE

E-mail address: `yafaev@univ-rennes1.fr`